

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS**

KIRA WAHLSTROM,

Plaintiff

v.

ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY
and AMERICAN GUARANTEE AND
LIABILITY INSURANCE COMPANY

Defendants

NO. 1:19-cv-12208

**ANSWER OF DEFENDANT AMERICAN GUARANTEE AND
LIABILITY INSURANCE COMPANY**

INTRODUCTION

The defendant American Guarantee and Liability Insurance Company (“AGLIC”) says that there are no factual allegations in this Introduction and that no answer is required. To the extent there are factual allegations, they are denied.

PARTIES

1. The defendant admits that Kira Wahlstrom is the plaintiff but is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the remaining allegations of this paragraph.

2. The defendant denies that Zurich American Insurance Company’s headquarters are in Zurich, Switzerland, but admits that Zurich has a principal place of business in Schaumburg, Illinois.

3. The defendant says that the document speaks for itself.

4. Denied.

5. Admit.

6. Admit.

- 7. Admit.
- 8. Admit.
- 9. Admit.
- 10. Admit.

FACTS

- 11. The defendant says that the documents speak for themselves.
- 12. Admit.
- 13. Admit.
- 14. Admit.
- 15. Admit.
- 16. The defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this paragraph.
- 17. The defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this paragraph.
- 18. The defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this paragraph.
- 19. The defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this paragraph.
- 20. The defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this paragraph.
- 21. The defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this paragraph.
- 22. The defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this paragraph.

23. The defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this paragraph.

24. The defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this paragraph.

25. The defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this paragraph.

26. The defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this paragraph.

27. The defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this paragraph.

28. The defendant admits that the plaintiff was raped in the garage.

29. Admit.

30. The defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this paragraph.

31. The defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this paragraph.

32. The defendant admits that Jose Rivera III pleaded guilty and is in prison. The defendant is otherwise without knowledge or information sufficient form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations.

33. The defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this paragraph.

34. The defendant admits that the plaintiff was harmed. It denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph.

35. The defendant admits that the plaintiff was harmed, but is otherwise without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this paragraph.

36. The defendant admits that the plaintiff filed suit.

37. The defendant admits that the discovery period closed on September 30, 2013, that it offered \$100,000 at a mediation on May 10, 2013, and that the plaintiff rejected it. The defendant denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph.

38. Admit.

39. The defendant admits that it increased the settlement offer to \$450,000 and that the plaintiff rejected it. The defendant denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph.

40. The defendant admits that: the case was tried to a jury from July 24 to August 11, 2015; the jury reached a verdict on July 11, 2015; the verdict was \$4 million; and judgment entered on September 14, 2015. The defendant says that the amount of the judgment speaks for itself. Further answering, the defendant says that the judgment was vacated and a new trial granted on May 19, 2016. Notwithstanding the absence of a judgment, the defendant has paid the plaintiff the amount of any judgment that could enter as of November 19, 2019. As of the date of this answer, there is no judgment.

41. The defendant admits that Lawrence Kenney was lead counsel, but otherwise denies the allegations of this paragraph.

42. Admit.

43. Admit.

44. The defendant admits that AGLIC issued Policy No. AUC 9007010-04 with a limit of liability of \$25 million, but is otherwise without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of this paragraph.

45. The defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this paragraph.

46. Deny.

47. Deny.

CLAIMS

48. The defendant realleges its answers to paragraphs 1 through 47.

49. Admit.

50. The defendant says that this is a conclusion of law requiring no answer.

51. The defendant says that this is a conclusion of law requiring no answer.

52. Deny.

53. The defendant says that this is a conclusion of law requiring no answer.

54. The defendant says that this is a conclusion of law requiring no answer.

55. The defendant says that this is a conclusion of law requiring no answer.

47. [sic] Admit.

48. [sic] Deny.

49. [sic] Deny.

50. [sic] Deny.

51. [sic] The defendant says that this is a conclusion of law requiring no answer.

52. [sic] Deny.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

In response to Exhibit A of the Complaint, the defendant offered the plaintiff \$3,410,000 on September 6, 2018. At the time of the offer, the judgment in the underlying case

had been vacated and a new trial had been granted. The offer is reasonable in relation to any injury actually suffered as a result of any alleged violations of G.L. c. 93A.

DEFENDANT,
AMERICAN GUARANTEE AND LIABILITY
INSURANCE COMPANY,

By its attorneys,

/s/ Allen N. David

Allen N. David, BBO #115000
Ava A. Anderson, BBO #695292
PEABODY & ARNOLD LLP
Federal Reserve Plaza
600 Atlantic Avenue
Boston, MA 02210
(617) 951-2100
adavid@peabodyarnold.com
aanderson@peabodyarnold.com

Dated: November 20, 2019

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Allen N. David, hereby certify that this document filed through the ECF system will be sent electronically to the registered participants as identified on the Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF) and paper copies will be mailed by First Class Mail to those indicated as non-registered participants on this 20th day of November, 2019.

/s/ Allen N. David

Allen N. David

1665115
16296-203453